Serial No.: 10/748,123

Filed: December 31, 2003

Page : 19 of 22

REMARKS

Claims 1-8, 10-37, and 41-43 are pending, with claims 1, 25, and 37 being independent.

Claims 9, 38-40 have been cancelled. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-13, 16-37 have been amended.

Claims 41-43 have been added. No new matter has been added.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claims 9-12 are directed to allowable subject matter.

Objections to the Specification

The title has been objected to as being not descriptive. The title has changed to "Presentation of a Multimedia Experience." Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

The specification has been objected to for minor informalities. The specification has been amended to correct the minor informalities. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 25-36 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for allegedly being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 25-36 have been amended to recite a computer program stored on a <u>tangible</u> computer readable medium. The <u>tangible</u> computer readable medium does not include a propagated signal. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection of independent claim 25 and its dependent claims 26-36.

Serial No.: 10/748,123

Filed: December 31, 2003

Page : 20 of 22

Claim Rejections—35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 14-21, and 23-37 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being

anticipated by McClintock (U.S. Patent No. 5,598,208).

Independent claim 1 has been amended to include subject matter from now canceled

claim 9 that was indicated to be directed to allowable subject matter. Independent claims 25 and

37 have been similarly amended. Furthermore, each of claims 2, 3, 5, 8, 14-21, 23, 24, and 26-

36 now depend directly or indirectly from an independent claim containing subject matter that

was indicated to be allowable. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be

withdrawn.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 4 and 13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

McClintock in view of Bernardo (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0047895). Claims 4 and 13

depend directly or indirectly from independent claim 1, which has been amended to include

subject matter that was indicated to be allowable. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests

that this rejection of claims 4 and 13 be withdrawn.

Claims 6 and 22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

McClintock in view of Ritchey (U.S. Patent No. 5,495,576). Claims 6 and 22 depend directly or

indirectly from independent claim 1, which has been amended to include subject matter that was

indicated to be allowable. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that this rejection of

claims 6 and 22 be withdrawn.

Serial No.: 10/748,123

Filed: December 31, 2003

Page : 21 of 22

Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClintock in view of Ritchey, and further in view of Bernardo. Claim 7 depends directly or indirectly from independent claim 1, which has been amended to include subject matter that was indicated to be allowable. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that this rejection of claim 7 be withdrawn.

Serial No.: 10/748,123

Filed: December 31, 2003

Page : 22 of 22

Conclusion

Applicants submit that all claims are in condition for allowance.

It is believed that all of the pending issues have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this reply should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this reply, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

The Extension of Time fee of \$120 is being paid concurrently herewith on the Electronic Filing System (EFS) by way of Deposit Account authorization. Please apply any other charges or credits to Deposit Account 06-1050.

Date: 33/2=&

Hussein Akhavannik Reg. No. 59,347

Respectfully submitted.

Fish & Richardson P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W. 11th Floor

Washington, DC 20005-3500 Telephone: (202) 783-5070 Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

40470385.doc